

DAY - NULM
Urban Review - 18th November



ESTP-Details of ULBs where enrolled is below 5

No	Name of ULB	No of candidates Enrolled
1	Guruvayoor Municipality	0
2	Pattambi	0
3	Tanur	0
4	Chittur - Tattamangalam	1
5	Kunnamkulam	1
6	Mannarkkad	1
7	Shornur	1
8	Thaliparamba	1
9	Varkala	1
10	Koothattukulam	2
11	Attingal	4
12	Koduvally	4
13	Thodupuzha	4
14	Valanchery	4

Shelter for Urban Homeless

Sanctioned projects

F Y	Type		total
	New	Refurb	
2015- 16	3 (9 units)	11	14
2016- 17	4	3	7

Status of sectioned project

Status	New	Refurb	Total
Work completed	1 (6 units)	9	10
Ongoing	6	5	11
Total	7	14	21



Ongoing projects

- ✓ 80% work completed – Alappuzha shelter
- ✓ 30% work completed – Kottayam shelter
- ✓ TS obtained for Punaloor new shelter
- ✓ TS pending for Kollam, Piravom & Kalpetta (new shelter)
- ✓ TS awarded for 3 refurbishment schemes
- ✓ (work started for Kannur & Thrissur, tender process initiated for S. Paravoor)

Status of approved shelters

S/N	Name of ULB	Name of shelter	Remarks/ Issues
1	Trivandrum	Karimadom (6 Units)	Functional
2		Sakshatkaram	Functional
3		Sayahnam	Functional



4	Kollam	Mundakkal	Functional, but procurement- pending
5		Mahilamandiram	Functional, but procurement - pending
6		Ashrayagramam	TS not issued
7	Punaloor	Thanal	TS issued- work yet not begin
8	Paravoor	Punarjani	TS issued, work yet not begin
9	Alappuzha	Valiyakulam	80% work completed

Status of approved shelters

s/n	Name of ULB	Name of shelter	Remarks/ Issues
10	Kottayam	Santhi Bhavan	Functional
11		Panachikkad shelter	30% work completed
12	Ernakulam	PRS	Functional
13		Good hope	Functional
14		Snehabhavan	Functional
15		Santhibhavan	Functional
16		Thevara	Functional

17	Piravom	Ashraya Bhavanam	TS not issued
18	Thrissur	Ramavarmapuram	Functional
19		Govt. old age home	Work yet not begin
20	Kalpetta	Thanaloram	TS not issued
21	Kannur	Abhayaniketan	Work awarded



DPR submission - Status



Carol Simpson Cartoons © 2011

DPR details	Type		Total
	New	Refurbishment	
Total DPR proposed	23	2	25
Final DPR submitted	10	1	11
DPR ready for submission	12	1	14
Proposed no of Inmates	2037	130	2167
DPR cost	81. Cr	01. Cr	82. Cr

Shelter For Urban Homeless- DPR submitted for FY. 2017- 18				
Sl. No	Name of ULB	Type (New/ Refur)	Estimate cost in Rs/ Lakhs	Remarks/ Issues
1	Kottayam	New	1000	Final DPR submitted for she home, cost & the size of inmates are very high. (2 lift 79 lakhs, contingency 13 lakh, landscaping 15 lakh, drainage 19 lakhs) may be reduced
2	Palakkad	New	553	Final DPR submitted. Summary DPR needs modification. Ownership document not produced
3	Kozhikode	New	525	Final DPR submitted.O&M cost proposed is Rs. 17.48. Total 13 staff proposed. Cost is 555 lakhs but mentioned as 612 lakhsOwnership document, council resolution & endorsement not found
4	Perinthalmanna	NEW	450	Final DPR submitted.Staff pattern is not as per guideline. Ownership document not found
5	Kanhangad	New	253	Final DPR submitted. DPR summary, ownership document not attached. Salary component is high. Provisions for water, electricity & service cost is very minimal, may rework.

Shelter For Urban Homeless- DPR submitted for FY. 2017- 18				
Sl. No	Name of ULB	Type	cost/ Rs Lakhs	Issues
6	Malappuram	New	251	DPR submitted
7	Shornur	New	224.5	DPR submitted. Land ownership details not attached. O&M is very high. Staff proposed is not as per guideline
8	Haripad	New	140	DPR submitted. Hospital based rest home for bystanders Ownership document not found
9	Payyannur	New	92	DPR submitted. Hospital based rest home for bystanders. Ownership document not found
10	Kattappana	New	235	Final DPR not submitted.
11	Kattappana	New	311.1	Final DPR not submitted.

Support to Urban Street Vendors

ULBs achieved 100% ID distribution

S/ No	Name of ULB	Total identified	No of ID card issued	% of achievement
	Attingal	228	228	100
2	Karunagapally	356	356	100
3	Erattupetta	26	26	100
4	Ettumanoor	112	112	100
5	Koothatukulam	36	36	100
6	Piravom	22	22	100
7	Shornur	43	43	100
8	Koyilandy	212	212	100
9	Thaliparamba	156	156	100
10	Ramanattukara	36	36	100

ULBs achieved above 90%

Perumbavoor
Koothuparamba
Kanhangad

Zero achievers (ID distribution)

S/ No	Name of ULB
1	Thiruvananthapuram
2	Kunnamkulam
3	Ottappalam
4	Patambi
5	Tirurangadi
6	Tirur
7	Cherthala

Achieved below 10%

S/ No	Name of ULB
1	Kalamassery
2	Thodupuzha
3	Kottarakkara
4	Kochi
5	Aluva
6	Ponnani
7	Alappuzha
8	Manjery
9	Kannur

Way forward...

- ✓ Completion of ID distribution, Preparation of vending plan, Vending market development and Financial inclusion.
- ✓ Executive committee & Shelter management committees for all shelter homes.
- ✓ Timely Completion of on going projects, (as per time line given in the DPR) & issuance of technical sanction for sanctioned schemes – (Kollam, Piravom and Kalpetta)
- ✓ Timely submission of DPR for construction/ refurbishment.
- ✓ DPR expected for City livelihood Centers from Payyannur, Neeleswaram, Kochi, Ramanattukara, Guruvayoor, Thripunithura, Kottarakkara, Perinthalmanna, Kottakkal & Malappuram.

Status of data entry in to NULM MIS

Strong (> 80%)

1. Triakkara
2. Thiruvalla
3. Adoor
4. Pathanamthitta
5. Pandalam
6. Alappuzha
7. Irrinjalakkuda
8. Kodungalloor
9. Punaloor

Weak (<30%)

1. Tirur
2. Thiruvananthapuram
3. Malappuram
4. Valancherry
5. Kozhikode

Thank You

Pradhan Manthri Awas Yojana

Executive summary

BLC N-submitted Final DPR (100% coverage of families)	74 ULBs
Submitted HFAPoA	17 Cities
Total No of projects approved	197 BLC N and 6 BLC Enhancement
Sanctioned No of DUs	58117 New and 97 Enhancement
Proposed for current month	11391(53 Projects by Nov 20 th 2017)
Implementation Progress	Started - 13026 DUs Completed-338 DUs

Cities submitted HFAPoA

SI No	City Name
1	Attingal
2	Chavakkad
3	Guruvayoor
4	Iringalakkuda
5	Karunagappally
6	Koilandy
7	Kunnamkulam
8	Mannarkkad

SI No	City Name
9	Mukkam
10	Pattanmathitta
11	Perinthalmanna
12	Piravom
13	Suouth paravoor
14	Thiruvalla
15	Thrissur
16	Varkala
17	Vatakara

Cities yet to submit final DPRs on BLC N(19Nos)

SL no	City Name	Pending to be included
1	Trivandrum	4000
2	Kochi	942
3	Kollam	762
4	Alappuzha	740
5	Palakkad	623
6	Payyoli	523
7	Thrissur	500
8	Quilandy	383
9	Ponnani	300
10	Nileshwar	200

SL no	City Name	Pending to be included
11	Neyyattinkara	200
12	Feroke	175
13	Adoor	134
14	Tirurangadi	134
15	Changanassery	96
16	Haripad	94
17	Kanjhangad	53
18	Kottayam	50
19	Thirur	50
	Total	9959

Physical Progress

(Cities started construction above 70%)

Sl. No	District	No of beneficiaries	Enrolled	issued building permit	Agreement executed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	% started
1	Mannarkkad	124	124	124	121	121	116	0	0	98%
2	Cherplassery	100	100	94	97	97	61	0	0	97%
3	Mukkom	126	126	126	119	119	111	40	0	94%
4	Punalur	200	200	176	176	176	132	91	0	88%
5	Neyyattinkara	500	467	441	435	429	372	0	0	86%
6	Cherthala	100	93	87	86	84	50	13	0	84%
7	Nileswaram	153	153	150	128	128	0	0	0	84%
8	Tirur	80	80	65	65	65	0	0	0	81%
9	Sreekandapuram	167	167	132	132	132	103	2	0	79%
10	Kodungallur	169	169	131	131	131	103	57	0	78%
11	N.Paravur	106	82	82	82	82	54	21	0	77%
12	Ramanattukara	64	64	57	53	49	0	0	0	77%
13	Irritty	33	33	22	25	25	3	0	0	76%
14	Erattupetta	165	165	135	124	124	0	0	0	75%
15	Anthoor	202	202	146	146	146	112	32	0	72%
16	Mavelikkara	80	80	62	60	57	40	20	0	71%
17	Kottakkal	45	36	36	34	32	0	0	0	71%
18	Payyannur	247	247	180	180	173	37	0	0	70%

Physical Progress

(Cities not yet started 0%)

Sl. No	District	No of beneficiaries	Enrolled	issued building permit	Agreement executed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	% started
1	Koduvally	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
2	Mananthavady	360	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	0%
3	Nilambur	72	72	65	0	0	0	0	0	0%
4	Palai	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
5	Pandalam	102	102	68	0	0	0	0	0	0%
6	Parappanangady	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
7	Sulthan Bathery	175	175	140	0	0	0	0	0	0%
8	Chengannur	62	62	16	10	0	0	0	0	0%
9	Adoor	47	47	34	23	0	0	0	0	0%
10	Ettumanoor	198	198	30	30	0	0	0	0	0%
11	Valanchery	315	315	198	65	0	0	0	0	0%

CLSS Best performing cities

SI No	City	Demand	Sanctioned Nos	% of sanctioned
1	Perinthalmanna	200	193	97%
2	Malappuram	170	161	95%
3	Kottakkal	30	26	87%
4	Pathanamthitta	52	33	63%
5	Koduvally	650	260	40%
6	Thiruvalla	28	11	39%
7	Erattupetta	85	32	38%
8	Cherpulassery	71	26	37%
9	Thalassery	210	73	35%
10	Feroke	120	40	33%
11	Kothamangalam	339	112	33%
12	Shornur	160	50	31%
13	Perumbavoor	145	45	31%
14	Anthoor	200	62	31%
15	Changanasseery	27	8	30%

CLSS Best performing cities (Worst)

SI No	City	Demand	Sanctioned Nos
1	Harippad	27	0
2	Mavelikkara	46	0
3	Kattappana	430	0
4	South Paravoor	113	0
5	Adoor	24	0
6	Tirur	398	0
7	Valanchery	46	0
8	Nilambur	122	0
9	Thirurangadi	242	0
10	Parappanangadi	98	0
11	Chengannur	67	0
12	Kuthuparamba	236	0
13	Panoor	200	0
14	Wadakanchery	800	0
15	Kudungallur	768	0
16	Kunnamkulam	100	0

SI No	City	No of Dus Approved	Points geotagged	%
1	Mannarkad-I	124	123	99%
2	Mukkom	126	120	95%
3	Cherplassery	100	87	87%
4	Irritty	33	28	85%
5	Tirur	80	62	78%
6	Kanhangad	530	410	77%
7	Kottakkal	45	34	76%
8	Kodungallur	169	127	75%
9	Adoor	47	34	72%
10	Nileswaram	153	109	71%

Geotagging

Cities No yet started

SI No	City Name	No of points geotagged
1	Aluva	31
2	Chengannur	62
3	Kasaragod	167
4	Koduvally	49
5	Nilambur	72
6	Paravoor	512
7	Paravur	106
8	Parappanangady	56
9	Payyoli	102
10	Perinthalmanna	456
11	Sulthan Bathery	175
12	Tirurangadi	47
13	Valanchery	315
14	Wadakkanchery	659

Geotagging

Cities No yet started

SI No	City Name	No of points geotagged
1	Aluva	31
2	Chengannur	62
3	Kasaragod	167
4	Koduvally	49
5	Nilambur	72
6	Paravoor	512
7	Paravur	106
8	Parappanangady	56
9	Payyoli	102
10	Perinthalmanna	456
11	Sulthan Bathery	175
12	Tirurangadi	47
13	Valanchery	315
14	Wadakkanchery	659

Issues

- Perumbavoor, Pattambi, Kodungallur, Aluva, Erattupetta not yet provided seating arrangement to CLTC staff
- MIS data cleaning and attaching is pending in most of the cities.
- Geotagging to be done for all DUs including completed houses.
- CLSS beneficiaries in Kodungallur(768), Wadakkancherry(800), Guruvayoor(549) not yet addressed a single beneficiary.

Selection of ineligible beneficiaries (identified through geo tagging photos) Manipulations and violations



Photo received as foundation completed.



Actual situation when checked.

Manipulations and violations





Basement constructed for only 2 rooms

ഈ പദ്ധതിയിൽ, താഴെ പറയുന്നവർക്ക് മാത്രമായിട്ടാണ് ഏർപ്പാട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളത്. എന്തായാലും, തീർച്ചയായും വിദ്വേഷം സൃഷ്ടിക്കാതിരിക്കാനായി പദ്ധതിയിടാനാണ് ഉദ്ദേശ്യം.



Can see the old painted wall in the photo.



Can see the pucca wall behind and staircase.

